1 David J. Thomas, (SBN: 175180) HANNA, BROPHY, MacLEAN, McALEER & JENSEN, LLP 2 1500 Iowa Avenue, Suite 220 Riverside, CA 92507 Telephone: (951) 824-2984 Facsimile: (951) 779-9494 E-mail: dthomas@hannabrophy.com 4 5 Attorney for Defendant RALPH KENNETH DELEON 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 EASTERN DIVISION 9 ED CR 12-00092-VAP-2 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. **DEFENDANT'S SENTENCING** 11 Plaintiff, MEMORANDUM¹ VS. 12 Sentencing Hearing: RALPH KENNETH DELEON Date: 2/23/15 13 Time: 9:00 a.m. Defendant. 14 15 Defendant, RALPH KENNETH DELEON, by and through his counsel, David J. 16 Thomas, Hanna, Brophy, MacLean, McAleer & Jensen, LLP, submits this memorandum 17 in order to provide information to assist the Court in fashioning a sentence "sufficient but 18 not greater than necessary" to achieve the statutory purposes of punishment, as 19 required by 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) in light of United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738 20 (2005).21 22 23 ¹ Counsel apologizes for the tardiness of this submission. I've been trying for a few 24 months now to get a report from one of the Defense expert consultants to submit in connection with this Sentencing Memorandum. Unfortunately, due to the expert's 25 worsening health problems, which resulted in a series of hospitalizations – including as 26 recent as two weeks ago – he has been unable to provide that report. A couple of weeks ago, counsel filed an ex parte application for a 3-week continuance of the sentencing 27 hearing to allow additional time for CJA to provide funds for another expert to assist with 28 providing that report; however, counsel recently learned that the CJA fund request was not approved.

I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant disagrees with the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines (USSG) range of life in jail, as calculated by the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) and the United States Probation Office (USPO) – based on a total offense level of 43 and a criminal history category of VI. Defendant contends that the proper USSG range is 135-168, based on a total offense level of 33 and a criminal history category of I, for the reasons stated below.

Pursuant to the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, Defendant contends that a sentence of 10 years (120 months) jail accomplishes a sufficient, but not greater than necessary, sentence.

II. PRESENTENCE REPORT²

A. FACTUAL OBJECTIONS

Paragraphs 10, 12 and 14. Defendant disagrees with the inclusion of "Al-Qa'ida" in these paragraphs. Deleon contended that he did not seek to join or support Al-Qa'ida, and the jury was unable to reach a verdict with respect to Counts 2 and 4, which specifically dealt with Al-Qa'ida.

Paragraph 21. Defendant vehemently disagrees with this statement and contends that it is not factually supported by the evidence. There were many potential "victims" in the numerous ramblings and non-specific ideas discussed among the codefendants in this case, and to say that the "intended victims in this case were American soldiers and personnel" is clearly not accurate. This fact is even recognized by the USPO in paragraph 25 of the Presentence Report (PSR), where they state that "the victims of each count are broad and specific victims are not identified." PSR ¶25.

Paragraph 26. I believe the USPO meant to change the offense level of "52" in this paragraph to "47", in keeping with the other changes it made in the Revised PSR, disclosed on 2/3/15, that are reflected in paragraph 37 of the PSR.

² Except as otherwise noted, Defendant is referring to the Revised Presentence Report, dated February 2, 2015, and disclosed to the parties on February 3, 2015.

Paragraphs 27, 28 & 31. Defendant objects to the 12-level increase in Defendant's offense level, per USSG § 3A1.4. This will be described in further detail below.

Paragraph 37. Defendant contends that the proper Adjusted Offense Level is 35, not 47, as described in further detail below.

Paragraph 39. Defendant contends that he should be entitled to a 2-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, for his post-arrest and proffer statements to the Government, which were introduced by the Government at trial. Defendant mainly went to trial to assert an entrapment defense, which is an issue that "does not relate to factual guilt," and is contemplated by USSG § 3E1.1, Application Note 2.

Paragraph 40. Defendant contends that the Total Offense Level is 33.

Paragraph 46. Defendant contends that USSG § 3A1.4(b) does not apply, and defendant's criminal history category should remain I, based on 0 criminal history points.

Paragraphs 53 & 55. Mr. Deleon's brother's name is spelled "Marc" not "Mark".

Paragraph 58. Defendant resided in Chino, not Ontario, at the time of the arrest.

Paragraph 63. In the 2nd to last sentence there is a typographical error. The word "lea" should read "led".

B. USSG. CALCULATIONS

1. The 12-Level Increase per USSG § 3A1.4 Does Not Apply to this case.

As noted by the USPO, in order for this 12-level increase to apply, the offense must be a "felony that involved, or was intended to promote, a federal crime of terrorism". PSR ¶31. Application Note of § 3A1.4 states that for purposes of this guideline, "federal crime of terrorism" has the meaning given that term in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5). 18 U.S.C. §2332b(g)(5) states "the term "Federal crime of terrorism" means an offense that (A) is calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government by intimidation or coercion, or to retaliate against government conduct; and (B) is a violation of2339A (relating to providing material

support to terrorists). PSR ¶31. Defendant concedes that one of the 2 requirements of 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) was met, i.e., that he was convicted ot 18 U.S.C. § 2339A; however, defendant denies that the offense conduct was "calculated to influence or affect the conduct of government by intimidation or coercion, or to retaliate against government conduct." 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(A).

The USPO simply states the instant offense is a felony that was intended to promote a Federal crime of terrorism, and therefore a 12-level increase applies; however, this analysis overlooks the facts of this case, and that Defendant had no political motivations in this case, nor did he intend to influence or affect the conduct of the Government. Defendant's motivations, albeit misguided, were solely religious in nature, and done in an effort to follow what defendant thought was required of being a strict Muslim.

To the extent that the Government contends that it is not Mr. Deleon's personal motivation that matters, but rather what the motivations of the designated terrorist organization were, it's extremely important to note that the jury in this case *did not reach verdicts with respect to Counts 2 and 4, that dealt specifically with Al-Qa'ida, a designated foreign terrorist organization.* The Government may have a strong argument that Al-Qa'ida has political motivations and intends to affect the conduct of government; however, the jury found that the evidence was insufficient to link Mr. Deleon's motivations to those of Al-Qa'ida – as seen by the lack of verdicts on Counts 2 and 4, which specifically referenced Al-Qa'ida.

In this case, we know what Mr. Deleon's motivations were, as he told the Government in a proffer session on April 25, 2013. His motivations – albeit radical and misguided – were purely religious, and not at all political:

"Deleon wanted to immigrate to a Muslim land for "*hijrah*.3" Deleon was going to join the fighting if the opportunity was there to defend the

³ the migration or journey of the Islamic prophet Muhammad and his followers from Mecca to Medina. Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hiira (Islam).

Ummah⁴.... The general plan of the group was to go and fight. Deleon believed there are certain circumstances in which it is acceptable for one to give up his life.... Deleon said that he was down with giving his life for **religion**. Deleon believed that by giving up your life in service, one would gain **rewards in the afterlife**. People get **rewards** for acting with good intentions.... Deleon said that he thought that al Qaeda was fighting for other political reasons. The Taliban were fighting for the **religion**. Deleon believed the **Mahdi**⁵ was going to return with the Taliban (emphasis added).

2-Level Reduction for Acceptance of Responsibility, per § 3E1.1, Application Note 2

As argued above, Defendant contends that Mr. Deleon qualifies for a 2-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, based on his post-arrest and proffer statements to the Government. This was a major focus of the Government's prosecution, and they consistently referred to Mr. Deleon as having already admitted his misconduct. It was clear at trial that the focus of the Defense strategy was to argue for an entrapment instruction, and in doing so the Defense specifically did not challenge the veracity of Mr. Deleon's proffer statements. Since Mr. Deleon did exercise his Constitutional right to proceed to trial and try to raise an entrapment defense, we are not arguing for the third acceptance point.

III. APPROPRIATE SENTENCE – PER 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) FACTORS

A. Applicable Law

In determining the appropriate sentence for Mr. Deleon, the Court must consider all of statutory sentencing factors set forth at 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), including, among other factors, the nature and circumstances of the offense; the history and characteristics of the offender; the need to reflect the seriousness of the offense,

⁴ In the Quran the ummah typically refers to a single group that shares common religious beliefs, specifically those that are the objects of a divine plan of salvation. Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ummah.

⁵ In Islamic eschatology, the Mahdi is the prophesied redeemer of Islam who will rule for seven, nine, or nineteen years (according to differing interpretations) before the Day of Judgment and will rid the world of evil. Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mahdi.

promote respect for the law, provide just punishment, and afford adequate deterrence; the need to provide the defendant with needed education, vocational training, medical care or other correctional treatment; the applicable sentencing range under the advisory sentencing guidelines; and the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a); <u>United Slates v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). The district court may not employ a presumption that the advisory guideline range is the reasonable or appropriate sentence. <u>United States v. Gall</u>, 128 S.Ct. 586,596-97 (2007); <u>see also United States v. Rita</u>, 127 S.Ct. 2456, 2465 (2007), and "extraordinary circumstances are not needed to justify a sentence outside the guidelines range." <u>United States v. Ruff</u>, 535 F.3d 999, 1002 (9th Cir. 2008). Instead, the guidelines are but one of many factors for the Court to consider when imposing sentence, and § 3553(a)(3) directs the judge to consider sentences other than imprisonment." <u>Gall</u> at 602. The task for the Court is to impose a reasonable sentence, based on the individual defendant and the facts and circumstances of the particular case at hand, that is "sufficient, but not greater than necessary," to achieve the statutory purposes of sentencing. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

B. Relevant Sentencing Factors

1. Nature and Circumstances of the Offense

Terrorism normally connotes violence, or the threat of violence, in order to bring about some sort of political change; however, that's not at all what Mr. Deleon's case was about. This case was about religious fanaticism and misguided zealousness by a young man who's only knowledge of what it meant to be a Muslim came from the most extreme and radical tenets of the religion.

Prior to meeting Mr. Kabir in August of 2010, Mr. Deleon had lived his entire 21 years of life as a strict Catholic, in a close knit family who went to church every week together and could afford to send him to an exclusive Catholic school while they lived in the Philippines. However, during the middle of his college years, and after spending more and more time away from his family, and less time at his church, Mr. Deleon began questioning his Catholic upbringing and was introduced to radical and extremist

Muslims. He became so captivated by the Muslim way of life and their promise for heavenly rewards, that he converted to Islam only a couple short months after meeting Mr. Kabir. Unfortunately, his formerly close-knit and supportive family rejected his decision to leave the Catholic faith, and shunned him and his Muslim faith – which only drove Mr. Deleon to learn more and more about his newfound Muslim religion, and become strict and extreme in his beliefs.

All of the energy and passion with which Mr. Deleon had approached his life, his sports and his studies in high school was turned into misguided religious zealousness as he dove deeper in to the extremist bowels of the Muslim religion. He became obsessed with finding, cataloging and listening to every Anwar Al-Awlaki video and audio lecture ever created and he learned an extremist Muslim ideology almost as quickly as he left Catholicism.

Mr. Deleon began to surround himself with others who shared similar extremist Muslim viewpoints, and this turned him further and further away from his family, his Catholic past, and his college and professional aspirations. Eventually Mr. Deleon spent most of his time consumed with fantasies of becoming a jihadist and defending Muslims in the Middle East in their struggles against the infidels – as he truly believed that supporting jihad was required of all Muslims.

However, at this point in time, Mr. Deleon had never been to the Middle East, had never fired a weapon, had never known a terrorist or had any connections to anyone who was even loosely affiliated with a terrorist organization or had ever committed any violent acts. This all changed when he met Mr. Hammad – who we all know was a government informant posing as a Muslim extremist with perceived connections to terrorists and money to spend on travels overseas.

Mr. Deleon is a non-violent, friendly, caring and extremely sociable person, who was extremely naive about the Muslim religion and about living in the Middle East. He had no idea of what it really meant to be a jihadist, and would have had no chance whatsoever of joining any type of religious organization overseas and fighting to defend

Muslims. He likely would have been killed, tortured or held for ransom and would have quickly realized that training to be a religious freedom fighter in a Muslim country was not like the video games he had played nor the website propaganda videos he had watched in the comfort of his own home.

2. History and Characteristics of Mr. Deleon

a. Lack of Criminal History

One of the most significant factors with respect to Mr. Deleon's potential for rehabilitation and likelihood of recidivism is his complete lack of criminal history – not a single arrest or conviction prior to the instant offense. The primary reason for the Sentencing Guidelines to advise higher guideline sentence for each increase in criminal history category (CHC) is that guideline offenders in higher CHCs are more likely to reoffend within two years of release from prison or upon entering probation status. Under the primary recidivism measure, offenders in CHC I have a substantially lower risk of recidivating within two years (13.8%) than do offenders in CHC VI (55.2%).⁶
Additionally, criminal history points represent the purest form in which the guidelines measure recidivism risk, and offenders with zero (0) criminal history points, like Mr.
Deleon, only have a 10% likelihood of recidivating within two years.⁷

b. Family Circumstances

Mr. Deleon had a very stable and comfortable childhood in the Philippines, during the first 13 years of his life. His birth parents were married for this entire time, and his childhood was not only good, but somewhat privileged – as Mr. Deleon attended an exclusive Catholic school. Even after Mr. Deleon's parents divorced and he moved to the United States at age 13, he appeared to have adjusted very well. Mr. Deleon was described as very fun-loving, popular and sociable in high school – where he

⁶ Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines – A Component of the Fifteen Year Report on the U.S. Sentencing Commissions Legislative Mandate, United States Sentencing Commission, May 2004, page 6 of 38.

 $^{^{7}}$ <u>Id</u>, at page 7 of 38.

participated in sports (e.g., football) and other extra curricular activities (e.g., student council). (PSR ¶¶54-55.)

Mr. Deleon's general reputation in high school was as someone who was very friendly and sociable, and even famous, according to his sister, who went to the same high school and knew many of his friends. He also hung out a lot at home with his friends, played video games and talked about sports. In addition to being very friendly and sociable, he was also very grounded spiritually. While in high school, he continued to go to church every week with his family, and even went through catechism classes and went through a confirmation ceremony at his family's Catholic church. (Reporter's Transcript ("RT"), Trial Day 21, September 16, 2014, Testimony of Melchor Deleon, pages 25-29.)

However, while Mr. Deleon's sociability continued through college, his spirituality did not. Although he was still living at home while attending college at California State San Bernardino, he stopped regularly attending church with his family. Instead, he would hang out with his friends on the weekends – either on campus or at their apartments off campus. He also started dating, partying a lot, and using recreational drugs, like marijuana, cocaine and ecstasy. (PSR ¶68.)

His continued use of marijuana eventually led him to Hooka bars, where he met Mr. Kabir and was introduced to Islam. In a likely attempt to search for "answers", according to Mr. Deleon's father, Mr. Deleon began questioning his Catholic religion. At first, Mr. Deleon's father argued with him, and told him he should remain a Catholic. (PSR ¶56.) His father was so surprised and disappointed that he didn't really want to talk to Mr. Deleon. In fact, his father insisted that they not talk about religion at home, since it was so upsetting to he and his wife, Ralph's stepmother. The discord in the Deleon household became even more profound when Mr. Deleon started talking to his younger brother, Michael, regarding his conversion to Islam. This caused Mr. Deleon's father to feel betrayed. (RT, Trial Day 21, September 16, 2014, Testimony of Melchor Deleon, pages 32-35.)

It's uncontested that Mr. Deleon's conversion to Islam, and his daily practicing of his Muslim faith, caused huge problems for his family life. Although Mr. Deleon's parents did what they could to accept their son's conversion and tolerate his newfound beliefs, the closeness that Mr. Deleon shared with his father for his entire life was gone, and Mr. Deleon looked elsewhere for acceptance. This caused Mr. Deleon the leave home on many occasions and spend days and nights with his friends – especially his new Muslim friends. This drove Mr. Deleon to isolate himself from his family and devote all of his time and energy to his Muslim faith – which only deepened the divide between his former life and his new Muslim life.

There is no doubt that this family strife started the chain reaction of events that lead to Mr. Deleon's conduct and conviction in this case. As stated by Mr. Deleon's stepmother in her sentencing recommendation letter, her relationship with Mr. Deleon drifted apart when he became a Muslim, and that "made her change her attitude towards him." She "started hating him and had arguments because as a devout Catholic it was very frustrating to know that [she] failed" in teaching him to be a "good Catholic." Mr. Deleon's stepmother described the situation perfectly when she said as follows: Mr. Deleon was a "boy that got lost somewhere, a boy that needed love from his parents" where, instead, me and my husband became "distant to him after he converted to Islam." (Sentencing Recommendation Letter or Arlene De Leon.)

However, through all of the drama of awaiting trial, and going to trial in this case, Mr. Deleon very much mended his relationship with his father and family – so much so that Mr. Deleon talks daily on the phone with both of his parents and his father and siblings visit him in custody regularly. (PSR ¶57.) As stated by Mr. Deleon's mother in her sentencing recommendation letter, she is in touch with him daily, and he, as always, remains very warm and engaging with his mother and his family. (Sentencing Recommendation Letter of Rebecca Caceres, dated 1/31/15.)

27 //

28 //

c. Lack of Violent Tendencies

Mr. Deleon's family members describe him as someone who is peaceful, respectful, caring and non-violent – and that they have never once seen him involved in a physical confrontation nor involved in a violent act with anyone. His family members all struggle with how Mr. Deleon took a path so inconsistent with his prior life and actions, as he's never shown any behavior that would confirm allegations of him wanting to hurt or kill innocent people. (Sentencing Recommendation Letters from Mr. Deleon's mother, father, aunt, and brothers.)

d. Remorse for his Behavior

In the attached letter from Mr. Deleon, he explains that he has "no excuse" for his actions and believed that he was following the correct version of Islam, which was extreme and radical. Mr. Deleon understands in his conversion from Catholicism to Islam he became "overzealous" and tended to ignore common sense and over-reacted. He admits that his actions were "senseless" and he is ready to face the consequences for those actions. He's not mad at the Government, or the informant, but at himself – the "blame is solely on me," he says. (Sentencing Recommendation Letter from Ralph Deleon, dated 10/20/14, pages 1-2.)

Mr. Deleon also expresses that he knows that "violence is not the solution to the world's ills today and that it is never too late to turn around and make up for everything that has happened." He intends to work with an Islamic organization upon his release and speak about moderation and the peaceful teachings of the Qur'an." (Sentencing Recommendation Letter from Ralph Deleon, dated 10/20/14, page 3.)

e. Mr. Deleon's Youth and Ability to be Rehabilitated

The first step towards rehabilitation for Mr. Deleon was to understand and appreciate how he ended up in his current situation. Through a lot of self-reflection and discussions with his family and other inmates while detained awaiting trial and post-trial, he's gained that understanding. Mr. Deleon understands that despite growing up in a strict Catholic environment and enjoying the privileges that his family afforded him he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was always searching for a higher calling and direction for his life. As he left his home for college and began spending less time with his family and his Catholic church friends, his devotion to his Catholic religion – which under ideal conditions would provide strength, direction and comfort – left Mr. Deleon lost and confused. This was especially true when he met friends who were zealous Muslims and Mr. Deleon saw their relationship and connection to God as more direct and fulfilling. (Sentencing Recommendation Letter of inmate Regis Possino, pages 1-2.)

As Mr. Deleon learned more and more about being Muslim, and wanted to share this with his family, they unfortunately turned him away – not out of hatred towards Muslims, but simply because Ralph was considering leaving Catholicism. He wanted to explore his new religious ideals with his parents, with whom he's always been close, but they shut him out and didn't want to discuss it at all. This made him even more eager to learn the tenets of Islam and made him more and more zealous to become a strict Muslim.

As Mr. Deleon's father explained during his trial testimony, Mr. Deleon became "obsessed" to be the "best possible Muslim he can be" so he "tried to really do what he thinks would be the Muslim way." (RT, Trial Day 21, September 16, 2014, Testimony of Melchor Deleon, page 37.) Unfortunately, the Muslim friends with whom Mr. Deleon was introduced to the religion were embracing the more radical and violent parts of the religion – which is all that Mr. Deleon knew about Islam at the time he was associating with the co-defendants in this case.

However, in the past couple years of being incarcerated and sharing his views and beliefs with others, Mr. Deleon has come to learn this peaceful side of the religion. As described by fellow inmate, Regis Possino:

"I am pleased to report that the radicalized version of [Mr. Deleon] has seen the real light and has begun to disavow those who preach a gospel of violence. He has begun to explore areas in which he may be able to help other Muslims inclined towards violence, to seek more peaceful means to deal with worldly issues. He has reaffirmed his connection with God, and no longer sees the world full of infidels who must be converted by any means.

Most of all, [Mr. Deleon] has admitted to the errors of his ways, not out of

fear of a lengthy jail sentence, but due to what I perceive to be true conversion to that of a peaceful warrior. Ralph has seen the light, and as a result, the zeal with which he once pursued his Catholicism, then his practice of the Islam of Jihad, has been redirected to the Islam of peace and love, as originally intended by the prophet."

(Sentencing Recommendation Letter of inmate Regis Possino, page 3.)

4

5

6

Another fellow inmate of Mr. Deleon's, Robert Thompson, describes the following with respect to Mr. Deleon's rehabilitation:

"I spent 6 months with [Mr. Deleon], daily testing his character, as a way of proving to myself that he is somebody with an immensely high standard of integrity. Daily he would prove to take pleasure in doing the 'right-thing',

by being 100% honest and maintaining the high-level of integrity with everyone. He does not put people down, he does not act from emotion,

and is mature enough to admit when he is wrong . . . he looks towards being a positive influence for a new breed of potential extremists. His

social and productive is a large asset to this Country." (Sentencing Recommendation Letter of inmate Robert Thompson, page 2.)

sincere belief in shaping young Muslim minds to understand what is pro-

Finally, another fellow inmate of Mr. Deleon's, Mario Medina, described the

following about Mr. Deleon's appreciation for the mistakes he's made, and his devotion

"Like all young persons going through life, they are impressionable and make mistakes. Part of maturing is learning from mistakes. We have all made them and all of us are flawed. We learn and we grow. [Mr. Deleon] is young and has a long life ahead of him. He is intelligent, thoughtful and

caring. He is not the person that he is portrayed to be. I have personally

witnessed his caring, gentleness and compassion for others. He is a young man just entering adulthood. He has great potential and doesn't

deserve to spend the rest of his life away from a supportive and caring

family, and friends. He realizes the mistakes he has made and realizes what it takes to resist the pressures of others that want to sow discord in

society. Like anyone who was led astray, he deserves another chance to

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

3. Avoiding Unwanted Sentencing Disparities

be productive and become a positive influence."

to becoming a productive member of society:

2425

25

26

2728

There are 3 other co-defendants in this case, that were all found to be in a criminal conspiracy – either by jury verdict or plea agreement. However, since no one else has been sentenced in this case, the defense will point to similar federal cases to give the Court some perspective on sentences given by other courts for similar conduct.

13

Case Name	Case Summary	<u>Sentence</u>
Hamdan v. United States, 696 F.3d 1238, 1240 (D.C. Cir 2012)	Defendant was an Al Qaeda drive, who worked at Al Qaeda training camps, and eventually became the driver and personal assistant to Osama Bin Laden	66 months
United States v. Abdallah Case No. 2:08-cr-0084-NVW	Defendant participated in fundraising for designated terrorist organization Holy Land Foundation for Relief and Development and lied about his participation to the FBI	18 months
United States v. Addoulah Case No. 01CR3240-W	Defendant assisted the September 11, 2011 hijackers in arriving in San Diego	time served (after about 1 year in custody)
<u>United States v. Abdow</u> Case No. 09-292 JMR/SRN	Defendant obstructed FBI investigation into the recruitment of young men in the United States to train and fight for extremist groups in Somalia.	4 months jail, 4 months house arrest
United States v Akl, et al Case No. 3:10CR251	Husband and Wife co-defendants were involved in scheme to send hundreds of thousands of dollars to the terrorist group Hizballah over the course of almost a year	husband: 75 months; wife: 40 months
United States v. Ali Case No. 02CR2912-L United States v. Durrani Case No. 02CR2912-L	Co-defendants conspired to distribute heroin and hashish for the purpose of providing material support to terrorist group AI-Qaeda, and traveled internationally in support of that conspiracy.	57 months each
United States v. Al-Arian Case No. 8:03-CR-77-T- 30TBM	Defendant conspired to make or receive contribution of funds, goods or services to or for the benefit of the terrorist group Palestinian Islamic Jihad	57 months
United States v. Al-Hanooti Case No. 08CR20083-1	Defendant entered into an illegal business relationship for oil with Saddam Hussein's government	12 months and a day
United States v. Christianson, et al. 586 F.3d 532 (7th Cir. 2009)	Two co-defendant's who were members of the domestic ecoterrorist organization Earth Liberation Front committed \$424,361 worth of damage at a facility belonging to the U.S. Forest Service	24 months and 36 months

1 2 3 4	United States v. Conley Case No. 1:14-cr-00163-RM	Defendant conspired to provide material support Al-Qaeda and ISIS; arrested at Denver airport en route to Syria; she had developed a close relationship with an avowed terrorist who invited her to join the jihad	48 months	
5	United States v. Hupper Case No. 1:08-cr-20410-PCH	Defendant provided \$20,000 to terrorist group Hamas and made a false passport application	46 months	
7 8 9	United States v. Wright, et al. Case No. 1:12-cr-00238-DDD	Co-defendants conspired to bomb an Ohio bridge	11 ½ years; other co- defendants received 8 years each	
10		W 00M0H10M		
11	IV. CONCLUSION			
12	Defendant respectfully requests that Your Honor sentence Mr. Deleon to 10			
13	years (120 months) of jail, with credit for time served.			
14 15	DATED: February 12, 2015			
16				
17	Respectfully submitted,			
18	HANNA, BROPHY, MacLEAN, McALEER and JENSEN, LLP			
19	Da	d J. Thomas		
20	David Δttori	J. Thomas ney for RALPH KENNETH DELEON		
21	Attori	ney for that IT the time IT beleave	SBD00537 PT01	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				